Understanding Visual Scanning Behavior in Driving: A Review and a New Perspective Using Statistical Pattern-Based Approach

Xingjian Ma, Minhee Kim, Haolan Zheng, Wayne C.W. Giang Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, University of Florida

1. Background

Areas of Interest (AOIs) can be suboptimal for dynamic driving tasks.

Scan paths provide more detailed strategies used by drivers.

2. Literature Review

Measures provide an overview of a driver's visual attention but often do not provide a temporal dimension to the analysis.

Terms	Definitions
Direction of gaze	The AOI to which the eyes are directed.
Dwell time	The sum of all consecutive fixations and between transitions to other AOIs. (3)
Glance/Glance duration	The maintaining of visual gaze within an perimeter of the AOI; comprised of at least transition to or from the AOI. (1, 4)
Glance frequency	The number of glances to an AOI within each glance is separated by at least one AOI. (5, 4, 6, 7)
Glance location probability	The probability that the eyes are fixated related AOIs) during a sample interval. (8
Link value probability	The probability of a glance transition bet locations.(8)
Total Eyes Off Road Time	The summation of all glance durations to road scene ahead during a sample interv
Total glance time	The summation of all glance durations to related AOIs) during a sample interval. (9
Transition time	The duration of a transition. (1)

Scan Path Analysis:

Discrete Representation-based Methods: Simplify scan paths by Ο transforming the fixation sequences into string-based representations to achieve better computational efficiency. (10, 11, 12, 13) Continuous Representation-based Methods: Directly leverage fixation Ο locations to find an optimal mapping to match the closest neighboring fixations between two scan paths. (14, 15)

5. Navarro, J. and E. Reynaud. Dynamic Scan Paths Investigations under Manual and Highly Automated Driving. Scientific Reports, Vol. 11, No. 1, 2021, p. 3776. 6. Olsen, E. C. B and W. W. Wierwille. Eye Glance Behavior during Lane Changes and Straight-Ahead Driving. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the* Transportation Research Board, Vol. 1937, No. 1, 2005, pp. 44–50.

(1, 2)

saccades within the AOI

- AOI, bounded by the east one fixation and a
- a sample interval where glance to a different
- at an AOI (or set of
- tween two different
- o all AOIs other than the val. (7)
- o an AOI (or set of

3. Methodology

- behaviors.
- subsequence is large, the subsequence is unique.

Query T _{1,4}									Query T _{2,4}								
Т	0	1	3	2	9	1	14	15		0	1	3	2	9	1	14	15
	t_1	t_2	t ₃	t_4	t_5	t_6	t_7	t_8		t_1	t_2	t_3	t_4	t_5	t ₆	t_7	t_8
	Win	dow c	of leng	th 4				Window of length 4									
	0	1	3	2	9	1	14	15		0	1	3	2	9	1	14	15
	0	1	3	2	9	1	14	15		0	1	3	2	9	1	14	15
ſ																	
	0	1	3	2	9	1	14	15		0	1	3	2	9	1	14	15
[0	1	3	2	9	1	14	15		0	1	3	2	9	1	14	15
l	Ŭ	-	<u> </u>	2	5	-	14	15		Ŭ	-		-	5	-	14	15
	0	1	3	2	9	1	14	15		0	1	3	2	9	1	14	15
\mathcal{D}_1	0.0	7.4	6.9	14.7	19.3				<i>D</i> ₂	7.4	0.0	10.9	7.9	15.7			

 $6.9 = \sqrt{(0-3)^2 + (1-2)^2 + (3-9)^2 + (2-1)^2}$ Visual Scanning Pattern Analysis:





3. Replace selected segments with NA and proceed to the next iteration

4. Visualization

Visual Scanning Patterns: unique and representative visual scanning

Distance Profile (16): a vector of the Euclidean distances between the *i*th query subsequence and each subsequence in the entire time series. 0.5If the sum of the values in the distance profile of a given query





2. Locate similar occurrences



5. Conclusion

By directly analyzing the scan path, this new method reveals the overall trends, semantics, and intricate differences in eye movement, surpassing the AOI-based feature extraction method. The study elaborates on the visualization of the obtained patterns and the scan paths of corresponding prototypical and average patterns and presents derived insights into driving behaviors.

Pattern #10

.....

0.5

UNIVERSITY of **FIGRIDA**

^{1.} Brishtel, I and D. Stricker. Classification of Manual Versus Autonomous Driving Based on Machine Learning of Eye Movement Patterns. Presented at the 2022 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC), Prague, Czech Republic, 2022. 2. Lappi, O and T. H. Itkonen. Pursuit Eye-Movements in Curve Driving Differentiate between Future Path and Tangent Point Models. PLoS ONE, Vol. 8, No. 7, 2013, p. e68326.

^{3.} Wang, Y and Z. Yang. Head-Up Display Graphic Warning to Support Collision Avoidance: Effect of Graphic Animation and Border on Driving Behavior and Eye Movement Pattern. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, Vol. 2677, No. 5, 2023, pp. 636–652. 4. Li, X. and M. G. Lenné. Effects of Different Non-Driving-Related-Task Display Modes on Drivers' Eye-Movement Patterns during Take-over in an Automated Vehicle. Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour, Vol. 70, 2020, pp. 135–148.

^{7.} Olsen, E. C. B. and B. G. Simons-Morton. Eye Movement Patterns for Novice Teen Drivers: Does 6 Months of Driving Experience Make a Difference? Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, Vol. 2009, No. 1, 2007, pp. 8–14. 8. Jeong, H., Z. Kang, and Y. Liu. Driver Glance Behaviors and Scanning Patterns: Applying Static and Dynamic Glance Measures to the Analysis of Curve Driving with Secondary Tasks. Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing & Service Industries, Vol. 29, No. 6, 2019, pp. 437–446. 9. Olsen, E. C. B., S. E. Lee, and W. W. Wierwille. Eye Glance Behavior during Lane Changes and Straight-Ahead Driving. Transportation Research Record: Journal of

the Transportation Research Board, Vol. 1937, No. 1, 2005, pp. 44–50. 10. Noton, D., and L. Stark. Scanpaths in Saccadic Eye Movements While Viewing and Recognizing Patterns. Vision Research, Vol. 11, No. 9, 1971, pp. 929-IN8. 11. Braunagel, C. and E. Kasneci. Online Recognition of Driver-Activity Based on Visual Scanpath Classification. IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Magazine, Vol. 9, No. 4, 2017, pp. 23–36.

^{12.} Kübler, T. C. and E. Kasneci. SubsMatch 2.0: Scanpath Comparison and Classification Based on Subsequence Frequencies. Behavior Research Methods, Vol. 49, No. 3, 2017, pp. 1048–1064.

^{13.} Geisler, D. and E. Kasneci. A MinHash Approach for Fast Scanpath Classification. Presented at the ETRA '20: 2020 Symposium on Eye Tracking Research and Applications, Stuttgart Germany, 2020. 14. Mathôt, S. and J. Theeuwes. A Simple Way to Estimate Similarity between Pairs of Eye Movement Sequences. Journal of Eye Movement Research, Vol. 5, No. 1,

²⁰¹² 15. Dewhurst, R. and K. Holmqvist. It Depends on How You Look at It: Scanpath Comparison in Multiple Dimensions with MultiMatch, a Vector-Based Approach.

Behavior Research Methods, Vol. 44, No. 4, 2012, pp. 1079–1100. 16. Yeh, C.-C. M. and E. Keogh. Matrix Profile I: All Pairs Similarity Joins for Time Series: A Unifying View That Includes Motifs, Discords and Shapelets. Presented at the 2016 IEEE 16th International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM), Barcelona, Spain, 2016.